Ż 0 3

allsolso

BEFORE THE HON BLE DISTRICT JUDGE SAKET COURT, NEW DELHI C SOUTH EAST)

TM No.

of 2016

IN THE MATTER OF

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd

Having its registered Office at:

14, Ring Road Lajpat Nagar -IV New Delhi -110 024

VERSUS

This case has been Full up note.

100 M

Khmar ADJ

Diserce & Sessions Judy (South)

Saket Courts Complex New Delhi

29-3-/6 ...Defendant

Karnataka - 577201

Savalanga Road, Shimoga

Shavmurty Circle

Metro United Healthcare

SUIT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION RESTRAINING INFRINGEMENT OF TRADE MARK, PASSING OFF FOR RENDITION OF ACCOUNTS OF PROFITS, DELIVERY UP, ACTS OF UNFAIR COMPETITION E.T.C. The Plaintiff above-named most respectfully submit as under:

is a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, India having The Plaintiff namely, Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences Private Limited,

024. Mr. Govind Kumar Sharma is the authorized signatory to institute the

its registered office at 14, Ring Road, Lajpat Nagar -IV, New Delhi -110

present suit and to sign and verify the pleadings on its behalf

Noida hospital under the name, Metro Hospitals & Heart Institute (MHHI) at Plaintiff with the help of a group of NRI physicians founded the first wing under the name Metro Multispecialty Hospital was set up. This was man at the most affordable cost, Dr. Purshotam Lal the chairman of the With a vision to provide the utmost level of healthcare to the common in June 1997, the Plaintiff started in September, 1998, a multispecialty 1997. Immediately after foraying into the heart care

ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE 01 - SOUTH EAST DISTRICT, SAKET COURTS, NEW DELHI IN THE COURT OF SH. LALIT KUMAR:

TM - 20/16

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences Pvt. Ltd.

Versus

Metro United Health Care

Order:

29.03.2016

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

assignment. It be checked and registered. infringement of Trade Mark, Passing off for rendition of account of delivery of Acts This is a suit for permanent injunction, of unfair competition etc. received by restraining

of plaintiff. prayed in his application u/o XXXIX rule 1&2 read with Section 151 ex-parte injunction/protection may be granted to the plaintiff as CPC as defendant is infringing the Trade Marks registered in the name Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submitted that ad-interim

and have perused the records. The brief facts of the case are that: Heard on the prayer for ex-parte ad-interim injunction

The plaintiff was originally founded the first hospital under the Multispecility Hospital were set up. The plaintiff was originally name Metro Hospital and Heart Instituted at Noida in June 1997 th) Multispecility Wing under the name Metro

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Metro United Health Care

Pg. 1 of 4

2/2/6

₹

incorporated as U.G Hospitals Pvt. Ltd. As on 20.02.1990. The Registry to record the change in the name of the plaintiff to its appropriate applications on Form TM 33 with the Trade Mark registrations are duly renewed and valid. The plaintiff has filed Hospitals Pvt. Ltd. (plaintiff as originally incorporated). These aforementioned registrations were applied in the name of U G Institutes of Medical Sciences Pvt. Ltd on 17.05.2007. The name of plaintiff changed to its present name i.e. Metro component i.e. Trade name Metro used since 1997. which is a composite mark / label incorporating the essential Registry, Though, the plaintiff is the registered proprietor of the trade marks Metro, Metro Heart Institute and Metro Hospital The same is pending with the Trade Mark

- Ņ It is further averred that the plaintiff came to know about the on 04th March 2016. which the defendant did not reply inspite of having received it accordingly issued a cease and desist notice dated 25.02.2016 to defendant namely "Metro United Health Care" that it is using identical trade mark of plaintiff as infringed one and
- ယ in his favour and has not been overruled by the registration affecting the interest and reputation of plaintiff, Defendant by as Metro Hospital . The goodwill earned by the plaintiff from the maliciously using its name and deceptively projecting himself authorities year 2007. Plaintiff argued that the said registration is still valid certificate as well as certificate of trademark registration in the last 19 years are on the stake due to the above and is adversely S further or has not been argued that plaintiff has got incorporation expired yet. Defendant has

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Metro United Health Care

レルに

컿

people. using the plaintiff's trademark is indeed deceiving the common

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff relied upon case titled as Morgan present petition. Stanley Mutual qua the territorial jurisdiction of this court to entertain the Securities and Exchange Board of India 1994 Law Suit (SC)549 Funds; Arvind Gupta Vs. Kartick Das;

3289/2012 Metro Institutes of medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Dr. application. Fahad Islahi and Anr in support of his arguments qua present Ld. Counsel for plaintiff relied upon a case law as CS (OS)

- Çī The plaintiff has established a prima facie case and the balance the impugned trade mark METRO. defendant from providing medical and hospital services under injunction is granted during the suffer irreparable loss and injury unless an order of interim of convenience is in favour of the plaintiff. The plaintiff will proceedings restraining the
- ġ are restrained from using "Metro" as trade name / trade mark or Considering after 15 days from the service to the defendant. Compliance of However, it is made clear that this order shall come into effect may be deceptively similar thereto till the next date of hearing. of medical services or any other trade mark or trade name as as a part of its corporate name and / or trading name in respect business franchisees, licensees, distributors, dealers and agents partners or proprietor, as the order 39 rule 3 be done within a week. the circumstances, case may be, defendant, his directors, assignees in
- Nothing stated herein shall tantamount the expression of any

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Metro United Health Care LL Pg. 3 of 4

₹

opinion on the merits of this case.

\$18* 7 *

for 12.07.2016. Steps within 7 working days. XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC be issued to the defendant on filing of PF/RC Notice of the suit alongwith application under Order

(LALIT KUMAR)
Additional District Judge 01 (SE),
Saket Courts, New Delhi/ 29.03.2016

.

ところして

₹

Metro Institutes of Medical Sciences P. Ltd. Vs. Metro United Health Care

Pg. 4 of 4